

IF A FEDERAL ELECTION FOR THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WAS HELD TODAY, WHICH ONE OF THE FOLLOWING WOULD YOU VOTE FOR? IF "UNCOMMITTED", TO WHICH ONE OF THESE DO YOU HAVE A LEANING?

<u>PRIMARY VOTE+</u>	<u>LIBERAL</u>	<u>NATIONALS</u>	<u>COALITION</u>	<u>LABOR</u>	<u>GREENS</u>	<u>OTHERS</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Election 24 November 2007	36.6	5.5	42.1	43.3	7.8	6.8
Newspoll 15-17 May 2009	34	3	37	46	9	8
Newspoll 29-31 May 2009	35	3	38	43	10	9
Newspoll 12-14 June 2009	36	4	40	41	11	8
Newspoll 26-28 June 2009	32	5	37	44	10	9
Newspoll 10-12 July 2009	34	5	39	43	11	7
Newspoll 24-26 July 2009*	34	4	38	46	9	7

*6 per cent "uncommitted" and 2 per cent "refused" excluded.

TWO PARTY PREFERRED+

Based on preference flow at November 2007 Federal election

	<u>COALITION</u>	<u>LABOR</u>
	%	%
Election 24 November 2007	47.3	52.7
Newspoll 15-17 May 2009	44	56
Newspoll 29-31 May 2009	45	55
Newspoll 12-14 June 2009	47	53
Newspoll 26-28 June 2009	44	56
Newspoll 10-12 July 2009	45	55
Newspoll 24-26 July 2009	43	57

+ Excludes Northern Territory.

ARE YOU SATISFIED OR DISSATISFIED WITH THE WAY MR KEVIN RUDD IS DOING HIS JOB AS PRIME MINISTER?

	<u>SATISFIED</u>	<u>DISSATISFIED</u>	<u>UNCOMMITTED</u>
	%	%	%
May 15-17 2009	58	31	11
May 29-31 2009	56	33	11
June 12-14 2009	58	31	11
June 26-28 2009	59	30	11
July 10-12 2009	61	28	11
July 24-26 2009	63	26	11

ARE YOU SATISFIED OR DISSATISFIED WITH THE WAY MR MALCOLM TURNBULL IS DOING HIS JOB AS LEADER OF THE OPPOSITION?

	<u>SATISFIED</u>	<u>DISSATISFIED</u>	<u>UNCOMMITTED</u>
	%	%	%
May 15-17 2009	40	42	18
May 29-31 2009	40	40	20
June 12-14 2009	44	37	19
June 26-28 2009	25	58	17
July 10-12 2009	31	55	14
July 24-26 2009	33	50	17

WHO DO YOU THINK WOULD MAKE THE BETTER PRIME MINISTER?

	<u>MR KEVIN RUDD</u>	<u>MR MALCOLM TURNBULL</u>	<u>UNCOMMITTED</u>
	%	%	%
May 15-17 2009	58	24	18
May 29-31 2009	57	24	19
June 12-14 2009	57	25	18
June 26-28 2009	65	18	17
July 10-12 2009	64	19	17
July 24-26 2009	66	16	18

THINKING NOW ABOUT THE ENVIRONMENT, IN PARTICULAR, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED CARBON POLLUTION REDUCTION SCHEME. UNDER THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED CARBON POLLUTION REDUCTION SCHEME, THE PRICE OF ENERGY SOURCES, SUCH AS PETROL, ELECTRICITY AND GAS MAY BECOME MORE EXPENSIVE. WOULD YOU PERSONALLY BE IN FAVOUR OR AGAINST PAYING MORE FOR ENERGY SOURCES, SUCH AS PETROL, ELECTRICITY AND GAS IF IT WOULD HELP TO SLOW GLOBAL WARMING?

	SEP 2008	-----24-26 JULY 2009-----							
	<u>TOTAL#</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>MALE</u>	<u>FEMALE</u>	<u>18-34 YEARS</u>	<u>35-49 YEARS</u>	<u>50+ YEARS</u>	<u>LABOR SUPPORTERS</u>	<u>COALITION SUPPORTERS</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
IN FAVOUR	58	59	53	64	67	61	51	68	48
AGAINST	38	36	42	31	29	36	42	27	48
UNCOMMITTED	4	5	5	5	4	3	7	5	4

#Note – question wording has changed – was previously: “UNDER THE CARBON POLLUTION REDUCTION SCHEME, THE PRICE OF ENERGY SOURCES, SUCH AS PETROL, ELECTRICITY AND GAS MAY BECOME MORE EXPENSIVE. WOULD YOU PERSONALLY BE IN FAVOUR OR AGAINST PAYING MORE FOR ENERGY SOURCES, SUCH AS PETROL, ELECTRICITY AND GAS IF IT WOULD HELP TO SLOW GLOBAL WARMING?”

BY HOW MANY PERCENT DO YOU THINK THAT THE PRICE OF ENERGY SOURCES, SUCH AS PETROL, ELECTRICITY AND GAS WOULD RISE ON AVERAGE IF THE GOVERNMENT'S CARBON POLLUTION REDUCTION SCHEME WERE TO BE INTRODUCED?

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>MALE</u>	<u>FEMALE</u>	<u>18-34 YEARS</u>	<u>35-49 YEARS</u>	<u>50+ YEARS</u>	<u>LABOR SUPPORTERS</u>	<u>COALITION SUPPORTERS</u>	<u>IN FAVOUR OF PAYING MORE</u>	<u>AGAINST PAYING MORE</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
NIL TO 10 PERCENT	32	36	28	32	40	26	34	32	40	22
11 TO 20 PERCENT	14	17	11	17	16	11	13	14	14	15
21 TO 100 PERCENT*	9	9	9	9	8	10	6	12	8	11
OVER 100 PERCENT	@	@	0	0	0	@	@	0	0	@
DON'T KNOW	45	38	52	42	36	53	47	42	38	52

@ less than 0.5%

*note - this question asked unprompted and then recorded in 10 point increments. Responses have been collapsed for reporting purposes.

STILL THINKING ABOUT THE PROPOSED CARBON POLLUTION REDUCTION SCHEME. WHICH ONE OF THE FOLLOWING COMES CLOSEST TO YOUR VIEW?

	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>MALE</u>	<u>FEMALE</u>	<u>18-34 YEARS</u>	<u>35-49 YEARS</u>	<u>50+ YEARS</u>	<u>LABOR SUPPORTERS</u>	<u>COALITION SUPPORTERS</u>
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
AUSTRALIA SHOULD INTRODUCE THE CARBON POLLUTION REDUCTION SCHEME ONLY AFTER LEARNING WHAT OTHER COUNTRIES COMMIT TO AT THE COPENHAGEN CLIMATE CONFERENCE IN DECEMBER THIS YEAR	45	47	42	49	42	44	37	58
AUSTRALIA SHOULD INTRODUCE THE CARBON POLLUTION REDUCTION SCHEME NOW AND NOT WAIT FOR THE COPENHAGEN CLIMATE CONFERENCE IN DECEMBER THIS YEAR	41	35	47	40	49	37	51	27
OR, SHOULD AUSTRALIA NOT INTRODUCE THE CARBON POLLUTION REDUCTION SCHEME AT ALL	8	13	5	6	6	12	7	12
UNCOMMITTED	6	5	6	5	3	7	5	3

These surveys were conducted on 24-26 July 2009 on the telephone by trained interviewers in all states of Australia and in both city and country areas. Telephone numbers and the person within the household were selected at random. The data has been weighted to reflect the population distribution. Questions are based on 1,201 interviews among adults aged 18 years and over. The maximum margin of sampling error is plus or minus 3 percentage points. Copyright at all times remains with NEWSPOLL. More poll information available at www.newspoll.com.au.